UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/561,254	12/19/2005	Hiroshi Sekine	18733-00210	6492	
26116 SIDLEY AUST	7590 11/19/200 CIN LLP	8	EXAMINER		
717 NORTH HARWOOD			PILKINGTON, JAMES		
SUITE 3400 DALLAS, TX 75201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3656		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonvious Cummons	10/561,254	SEKINE ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAMES PILKINGTON	3656				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>JAMES PILKINGTON</u> .	(3) <u>Feras Alkasab</u> .					
(2) <u>Thomas Tarnay</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>18 November 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-15</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment to claims 5, 6 and 9-12 overcomes the rejections under 35 USC 112 and the drawing objections. An agreement was not reached regarding claim language which would overcome the prior art as applied in the Office Action of 8/20/08. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						

Application No.

Applicant(s)

/JAMES PILKINGTON/

/Richard WL Ridley/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3656